

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/699,940 | 11/03/2003 | Thomas Scott III | MS1-1731US | 4357 |
| 22801 LEE & HAYE | 7590 07/09/200 S. P.L. C | EXAMINER | | |
| 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, WA 99201 | | | SAINT CYR, JEAN D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2425 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/09/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/699,940
 SCOTT ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 JEAN D. SAINT CYR
 2425

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

| 1) <u>JEAN D. SAINT CYR</u> . | (3) <u>Colin D. Barnitz</u> . | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2) <u>Annan Q. Shang</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 22 June 2009. | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant | 2)⊠ applicant's representative] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1,36 and 37. | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Kikinis. | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. | g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A. | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview, we discussed claims 1 and 36-37. The attorney tries to show the difference between the current application and the cited reference, Kikinis. Also, we discussed the 101 rejection. Upon receiving a formal communication from the applicant, an office will be sent accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS INTERVIEW INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| /Annan Q Shang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2424 | |
|--|--|
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | |